UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re	
City of Detroit,	Michigan

Chapter 9

Case No. 13-53846

Hon.: Thomas J. Tucker

Debtor

JOINT RESPONSE OF CREDITORS DENNIS TAUBITZ AND IRMA INDUSTRIOUS TO DEBTOR CITY OF DETROIT OBJECTION TO CLAIM

NOW COMES Creditors Dennis Taubitz and Irma Industrious for their Response to the Debtor City of Detroit's Objection to Proof of Claim state as follows:

FACTS

Debtor seeks to eliminate Creditor Dennis Taubitz's claim for unpaid wages and Creditor Irma Industrious' claim for a bonus due to her employment with the Debtor. Debtor wrongfully claims that Creditor Dennis Taubitz's unpaid wages and Irma Industrious' bonus are not administrative priority claims. This assertion is false.

Creditor Dennis Taubitz, from January 2013 to December 2013, worked as an employee of the Debtor. Creditor Dennis Taubitz performed duties that allowed the Debtor to operate and continue to operate after the Debtor's filing of its Bankruptcy action in July 2013. Creditor Dennis Taubitz was never paid for these essential services that he performed for the Debtor. Debtor acknowledges the fact of this non-payment as the Debtor never provided Creditor Dennis Taubitz or the Internal Revenue Service with a W2 Form or 1099 Form for the time in question.

On information and belief, Creditor Irma Industrious was entitled to a 5% bonus due to her work for the debtor.

ARGUMENT

Administrative priority claims are monies that the Debtor must expend in order to continue to operate while in Bankruptcy. For example, the Debtor continued to pay the wages of its employees, because the services of the employees were necessary for the Debtor to continue to operate.

Creditor Dennis Taubitz became an employee with the Debtor in January of 2013. Creditor Dennis Taubitz worked through December of 2013. Creditor Dennis Taubitz's efforts allowed the Debtor to continue to function and perform its constitutional mandated duties. The Debtor's alleged justification for treating Creditor Dennis Taubitz's claim as different from the approximately 10,000.00 other employees that were utilized to allow the Debtor to continue to function is clearly erroneous.

Creditor Dennis Taubitz is being treated differently than the approximately 10,000.00 other employees of the Debtor without any lawful basis. Even the Debtor has acknowledged that Creditor Dennis Taubitz was not paid for the time that he worked from January 2013 to December of 2013, as the Debtor never provided Creditor Dennis Taubitz or the Internal Revenue Service with a W2 Statement or a 1099 Form for the wages the Debtor should have paid, but failed to do so. If Debtor claims that payment has been made in this matter, then an accounting is necessary as the Debtor has certainly failed to present any documentation to the Creditor or to the Internal Revenue Service. Similarly, Creditor Irma Industrious was owed a bonus for her work for the Debtor.

CONCLUSION

Creditors Irma Industrious and Dennis Taubitz therefore submit that the Debtor is incorrect and that Creditor Dennis Taubitz is entitled to receive compensation for the wages that he earned from July 2013 to December 2013, and Creditor Irma Industrious is entitled to receive her bonus for her work for the Debtor; and both claims should therefore be treated as an administrative priority.

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing, these Creditors respectfully pray that this

Honorable Court deny Debtor City of Detroit's Objections to Creditors Dennis Taubitz and Irma

Industrious' claims for administrative priority for the reasons previously stated.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Dennis Taubitz /s/ Irma Industrious

Dennis Taubitz
Irma Industrious
In Pro Per
Debtors
6002 Diamond Ruby – Suite 3
PMB 255
Christiansted, St. Croix, V.I. 00820
(313) 271-9842/ (340) 332-0006
iindustrious@yahoo.com

Dated: May 20, 2015

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

IN RE:	CASE NO: 13-53846
City of Detroit Debtor.	CHAPTER: 9 JUDGE: Thomas J Tucker
Michiga	
PROOF	OF SERVICE
I hereby certify that on MAY 26, 2015	(date of mailing), I served
copies as follows:	4
1. Document(s) served: Joint Respons	e of creditors Dennis Taubitz and
Irma Induser	river To Ophton City of Dottoit
	in the second se
2. Served upon [name and address of each pe	rson served]: John A Simon
Hearly- C	ennox. Folgy and Landner
Broce Be	ennett 500 woodward Ave
Jones DAY 555 S Flower	-cx Ste 2766
FILICH PL	
Los Angele	25, ca 45,726
	90071
2 Ry First Class Mail	
3. By First Class Mail.	
Data House to the	- 1.1.
Dated: MAY 20, 2015	(Signature of Debtor) Creditor
	Print Name: Deanis Tackitz
•	I vor de deto
	(Signature of Co-Debtor) Creditor
	Print Name: Irma Industrious